


REMARKS

In the outstanding office action dated April 3, 2009, claims 1 and 5-8 were indicated to be allowable over the prior art if rewritten to overcome certain 35 USC §112 issues. Namely, in claim 1, the phrases "close to" and "a major part" were objected to as being indefinite. Claim 1 has therefore been amended to refrain from using such language. Rather, claim 1 now uses "in the vicinity to" and "substantially". As both phrases were already used in the claims and specification in other instances without objection, it is believed the Examiner will find such language satisfactory. No new matter is added.

In light of this, Applicants respectfully submit that each of the pending claims is in condition for allowance and solicits same. Should the Examiner have any questions or alternative language to propose, he is invited to telephone the undersigned.

Dated: April 28, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Thomas A. Miller

Registration No.: 40,091
MILLER, MATTHIAS & HULL
One North Franklin Street
Suite 2350
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 977-9903
Attorney for Applicants